

- or ask input, has this process been changed to back up this claim that all trails will remain?
7. In Livingstone, the GoA never released to the public the trail inventory to affected the users, nor the expected reduction of their access to recreation. Since we know that AEP has been closing trails during the process, can the government please supply a current list of all the recreational trails in the new PLUZ, for users to see what was on the landscape
 - a) prior to the proposal being introduced to the public.
 - b) at the end of end of the public consultation period.
 - c) at the point a final decision is made
 8. What is an illegal trail? How is it defined? How do trails become illegal?
 9. Of all of the trails in the Bighorn, what percent are usable by OHVs?
 - a) What percent were created by industry?
 - b) Is there a database of trails you are referring to?
 10. I hear a lot about the science that these important decisions are being based on. Can I receive a copy of the science – the studies and reports?
 - a) Science that is based on studies conducted in Alberta.
 - b) Science that is based on studies with relevant parameters.
 - c) Is this information available to all Albertans?

Linear Disturbance

The Minister committed that LF/LD would only be applied in the Castle, Porcupine Hills and Livingstone – not anywhere else in the province. HOWEVER, Linear Disturbance was mentioned briefly on one of the Telephone Town Hall Calls and confirmed at the last Bighorn Steering Committee meeting that it will be applied with the current parameters.

Linear Footprint (LF) or Linear Disturbance (LD) has never been properly explained – please share the government document explaining how science was used to determine LD.

11. Where is the explanation of LF/LD and how is it being applied in this area?
12. Linear Disturbance is an element Alberta Environment & Parks introduced to their decision-making process. It is NOT referenced as a key determinant for regulatory decisions by any other jurisdiction in North America. What roles does it play in this proposal?
13. In Castle/Livingstone, a linear footprint (based on road density) was applied after implementation as a threshold for trails. While this footprint isn't proven applicable to trails - will it be deployed here?
14. Is there a threshold designated for linear footprint?
 - a) What is that threshold?
15. In the south no actual roads were reduced to meet this threshold - just 75% of the OHV trails. What is the linear disturbance threshold being set for these areas?